

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Ratent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS AO Box 1450 Alejandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,311	08/30/2001	Peter D. J. Dennis	SUN-P6268-PIP 2172		
22835	7590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER		
A. RICHARD PARK, REG. NO. 41241			FOWLKES, ANDRE R		
PARK, VAUG 2820 FIFTH S	GHAN & FLEMING LI STREET	.P	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAVIS, CA 95616			2192		
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/200	DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/944,311	DENNIS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Andre R. Fowlkes	2192

Advisory Action	09/944,311	DEININIS ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andre R. Fowlkes	2192			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		ta din a final national and code	:-b		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS					
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,7-10,12,15-18,20,23 and 24.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,7-10,72,70-10,20,20 and 24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).		
TO. [] The amidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ieu.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration or search. At least claims 1, 9 and 17 propose the limitation that "the operating system source file is part of an operating system kernel". This proposed limitation changes the scope of the claims and would require further consideration or search; therefore, the proposed amendments will not be entered.

TUAN DAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER